

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,445	08/26/2003	Kil-soo Jung	1293.1994	1650
49455 STEIN MCEV	7590 03/06/2008 VEN & BUI, LLP	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STR	-	CHIO, TAT CHI		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,		2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	16 347,445	JUNG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tat Chi Chia	0604
	Tat Chi Chio	2621

	rat Chi Chio	2021	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complifollowing time periods: 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of A ppeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the prod of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	date of the final rejection. E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN TWO
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	statutory period for reply originally set	in the final Office action	or (2) absrtsheitn (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dis missal d	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	PTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a € NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jecte d claims.	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a) :		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9 and 11</u> .			·
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a fin al action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment.	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
15. [] Ottlet			
	•		

10/647,445 Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Lamkin does not disclose event registration to check whether the user performed the action.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Lamkin discloses event registration to check whether the user performed the action in Table A.1.41. Table A.1.41 check if a User Operation is valid and the main purpose of this command is to retrieve the current UOP (User Operation) status. Checking the validity of a user operation can be done if there is a user operation, therefore, this information provides whether the user performed an action. Furthermore, since the main purpose of this command is to retrieve the current user operation status, checking whether user performed an action is needed before retrieving the current user operation status.

The applicant alleged, "the ValidUOP(x) function does not require that the user actually have performed an action, as suggested by the Examiner."

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. After a careful review of the previous office actions, the examiner does not find such suggestion in previous office actions.

The applicant argues that Lamkin does not disclose that the markup document contains second event information to prohibit information the AV playback engine, which decodes the AV data, of the occurrence of the key input event.

Application/Control Number:

10/647,445 Art Unit: 2621

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Lamkin discloses that the markup document contains second event information to prohibit information the AV playback engine, which decodes the AV data, of the occurrence of the key input event in Table A.1.41 and col. 19, lines 51-54.

SUPPLIFIED OF CERTER 2000